## **2014 Synthesis Paper Rubric**

Rating →	Outstanding	Sufficient	Does Not Meet Expectations	Grossly Fails to Meet Expectations
Criteria	4	3	2	1
Introduction, thesis, and conclusion	Intro provides context for the rest of the paper; thesis is explicit and clear; conclusion recasts thesis and provides cohesion to whole paper	Either intro provides insufficient context for the rest of the paper, thesis is lacking in clarity, OR conclusion fails to recast thesis effectively	Intro provides little context for the paper; thesis is implicit and hard to find; conclusion makes insufficient reference to thesis	Intro does not provide context for the paper; thesis is undetectable; conclusion seems unrelated to the rest of the paper
	20	15	10	5
Analysis of Works (x5)	Each text is analyzed judiciously and succinctly with ideas that clearly relate to the overall theme and effectively support the synthesis—writer uses "chunks" (observation + 2 commentary statements) with nice transitions.	Analyses support synthesis but could be more clearly related; all ideas are not explicitly related to thesis, and writing in the format of "chunks" is less persuasive.	Analyses are either unclear, too summative, or too broad/ too narrow to demonstrate relation to synthesis; little connection between summaries and synthesis	Summaries, poorly constructed with carelessly selected details; no connection with synthesis
	25	20	12	8
Synthesis	Clearly identified synthesis based on well- supported and well- selected points of comparison and/or departure among the texts	Synthesis identifiable but could be more explicit and better developed; sufficiently supported by ideas from the texts	Synthesis is hard to find or poorly developed; insufficiently supported by ideas from the texts	No connection among texts or no apparent attempt at developing a synthesis
	20	15	10	5
Organization and coherence	Logical sequence of paragraphs; transition sentences and cohesion markers used effectively throughout paper	Sequence of paragraphs is good but could be improved; some transition sentences and cohesion markers are used but could be more effective	Sequence of paragraphs seems to be out of logical sequence; insufficient transitions and cohesion markers	Paragraphs seem to be out of order and haphazard throughout; no transition sentences or cohesion markers are used
	20	15	10	5
Mechanics, Usage, & Formatting	Superior editing – limited errors in spelling, grammar, word order, word usage, and punctuation; proper citation of texts and MLA format. <b>15</b>	Good editing – few errors per page in spelling, grammar, word usage, and punctuation; few errors in citations <b>12</b>	Careless editing – several errors <u>per</u> <u>paragraph</u> in spelling, grammar, word order, word usage, punctuation; several errors in citations <b>8</b>	No editing – many errors throughout in spelling, grammar, word order, word usage, punctuation, and citations <b>4</b>

Total Rubric Points Earned:

x \_\_\_\_\_3 / 100

Total Synthesis Paper Grade: \_\_\_\_\_ / 300

Date turned in: \_\_\_\_\_