

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

## Davis Squad College Essay Scoring Rubric

Category	Points	Comments
<p><b>Purpose:</b> Is the purpose or intention of this personal statement clearly conveyed? Is the desired outcome effectively communicated?</p>	/5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Addresses the prompt</li> <li>▪ Addresses the prompt but needs more details on key areas</li> <li>▪ Marginally addresses the prompt; needs specific focus.</li> <li>▪ Off-topic or too vague.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Organization:</b> Is the personal statement structured in a logical manner? Is it structured appropriately for the intended audience? Is anything out of place?</p>	/5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Introduction has thesis that addresses the prompts.</li> <li>▪ Introduction lacks thesis or is vague about the prompts</li> <li>▪ Body paragraphs have topic sentences that are logical and fully developed.</li> <li>▪ Body paragraphs are imbalanced and need more development/ clear topic sentences.</li> <li>▪ Body paragraphs lack coherence, direction, topic sentences.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Content:</b> Is the entirety of the content pertinent? Does the personal statement contain any “filler” or superfluous information?</p>	/10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Content offers significant information about the writer.</li> <li>▪ Uses examples (cited accomplishments, talents, qualities, etc. are clearly supported by concrete examples) as support.</li> <li>▪ Content is somewhat weak and writer needs more focus on key points/areas for discussion.</li> <li>▪ Content is off-topic, vague, unfocused, or generic.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Individuality:</b> Does the piece effectively distinguish the author from other applicants? Is the author memorable and remarkable for the right reasons?</p>	/10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Writer has a clear voice/presence through detailed focus on experience, activities, background, etc; topics show originality/insights/personality.</li> <li>▪ Writer offers details but does not leave a strong impression.</li> <li>▪ Writer does not leave a dominant impression because the information is dull, vague, or lacking in examples.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Mechanics:</b> Is the piece grammatically sound? Are there any misspellings and/or typos? Are there errors in structure and/or punctuation?</p>	/5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Verb usage errors</li> <li>▪ Pronoun reference/agreement errors</li> <li>▪ Spelling/diction</li> <li>▪ Commas</li> <li>▪ Sentence construction errors</li> <li>▪ Slang expressions</li> <li>▪ Other:</li> </ul>
<p><b>Revision:</b> Has the piece been <i>significantly</i> revised from the rough draft version? Has the writer made thoughtful changes to improve the piece as a whole?</p>	/30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Content both shows and tells</li> <li>▪ Vivid details and examples (including anecdotes) support the essay’s thesis</li> <li>▪ Unnecessary words/sentences/points have been eliminated</li> <li>▪ The piece is cohesive and truly presents the writer’s persona</li> </ul>

**Total = \_\_\_\_\_ /65**